The Wigner time delay for laser induced tunnel-ionization via the electron propagator

Enderalp Yakaboylu, Michael Klaiber, and Karen Z. Hatsagortsyan
Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Saupfercheckweg 1, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany
(Dated: February 19, 2014)

Recent attoclock experiments using the attsecond angular streaking technique enabled the measurement of the tunneling time delay during laser induced strong field ionization. Theoretically the tunneling time delay is commonly modelled by the Wigner time delay concept which is derived from the derivative of the electron wave function phase with respect to energy. Here, we present an alternative method for the calculation of the Wigner time delay by using the fixed energy propagator. The developed formalism is applied to the nonrelativistic as well as to the relativistic regime of the tunnel-ionization process from a zero-range potential, where in the latter regime the propagator can be given by means of the proper-time method.

arXiv:1402.4382v1 [quant-ph] 18 Feb 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

The tunneling of an electron through a potential barrier is a typical quantum mechanical phenomenon. It has been in the focus of both theoretical and experimental attention since the formulation of quantum mechanics (see, e.g., [1] for a comprehensive review). In particular the issue of whether the motion of a particle under a barrier is instantaneous or not is a long standing and controversial problem in physics since MacColl's first attempt to consider it in 1932 [2]. Recent interest to this problem has been renewed by a unique opportunity offered by attosecond angular streaking techniques for measuring the tunneling time delay during laser-induced tunnel-ionization [3–6]. This novel experimental technique offers the measurement of tunneling time with unprecedented resolution of tens of attoseconds [7].

For the generic problem of the tunneling time delay, different definitions have been proposed and the discussion of their relevance still continues [8–16]. The Keldysh time introduced in the strong field ionization theory [17] describes the formation time of the ionization process [18] (see also Fig. 11 in [19]) and is not relevant to the attoclock time delay measurement [3–6]. One of the most accepted definitions for the tunneling time delay is the Wigner time delay concept [8–10]. This concept is based on the time difference between the quasiclassical and the Wigner trajectory, which is the trajectory of the peak of the wave packet, at a remote distance. A mathematical definition of the Wigner trajectory can be given via the derivative of the phase of the steady-state wave function of the tunneling particle. Namely, the time is expressed via the derivative with respect to energy and the coordinate via the derivative with respect to the corresponding momentum.

Recently, an intuitive picture of the relativistic regime of the laser-induced strong field ionization was developed in [19, 20]. It was shown that the tunneling picture applies also in the relativistic regime with a modification that the energy levels becomes position dependent. This modification accounts for the electron kinetic energy change during the tunneling connected with the electron motion along the laser field due to the effect of the magnetic field induced Lorentz force. The tunneling time delay was investigated in [19] for the tunnel-ionization process by adapting Wigner's approach for tunnel-ionization. In the relativistic regime of tunneling the Wigner trajectory under-the-barrier is shifted along the laser propagation direction due to the magnetically induced Lorentz force, which can be observed physically as a shift of the peak of the tunneled out electron wave packet at the exit of the barrier. In [19] the Wigner trajectory is calculated explicitly extracting the phase of the wave function which is a rather cumbersome procedure especially in the relativistic regime.

In this brief report, we present an alternative method for the calculation of the Wigner trajectory in terms of the phase of the fixed energy propagator (the Green function of the time-independent Schrödinger equation). This method provides an easier way to calculate the tunneling time delay and the Wigner trajectory in particular cases. We apply the developed formalism to the nonrelativistic regime of tunnel-ionization from a zero-range atomic potential under the effect of a constant and uniform electric field, and the relativistic regime under the effect of a constant and uniform crossed field. The latter field configuration corresponds to the relativistic quasistatic regime of strong field ionization when the Keldysh parameter $\gamma = \omega\sqrt{2I_p}/E_0$ is small ($\gamma \ll 1$) [17], where I_p is the ionization potential, ω and E_0 are the laser frequency and the field amplitude, respectively.

Atomic units (a. u.) and the metric convention $g = (+,-,-,-)$ are used throughout the paper.

II. THE PHASE OF THE FIXED ENERGY PROPAGATOR

Our fundamental definition of the Wigner trajectory follows from the relation between the spacetime propagator

$$K(x,x';t.t') = \langle x|U(t,t')|x'\rangle \tag{1}$$

and the fixed energy propagator

$$G(x,x';\varepsilon) = \langle x|\frac{1}{\varepsilon - H + i\epsilon_F}|x'\rangle\,, \tag{2}$$

with energy ε, the Hamiltonian H, the time evolution operator $U(t,t')$ and the standard Feynman $i\epsilon_F$ prescription [21]. First, the spacetime propagator can be written as

$$K(x,x';t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\varepsilon\, \exp\left(-i\varepsilon t\right) G(x,x';\varepsilon)\,, \tag{3}$$

where we set the initial time zero, $t' = 0$. Then, the fixed energy propagator is split into its phase ϕ and its amplitude A

such that the spacetime propagator reads

$$K(x,x';t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\varepsilon\, A(x,x',\varepsilon)\exp\left(-i\varepsilon t + i\phi(x,x',\varepsilon)\right)\,. \tag{4}$$

The propagator (4) connects the space points x and x' in a time interval t and its value will be maximal when the phase of the fixed energy propagator $\phi(x,x',\varepsilon)$ fulfills the stationary phase condition

$$t - \frac{\partial\phi(x,x',\varepsilon)}{\partial\varepsilon} = 0\,. \tag{5}$$

Then a trajectory which fulfills condition (5) with a certain energy of the incoming wave packet ε_0 maximizes the propagator. In other words, the trajectory given by the relation

$$t^w(x,x') = \left.\frac{\partial\phi(x,x',\varepsilon)}{\partial\varepsilon}\right|_{\varepsilon=\varepsilon_0} \tag{6}$$

traces the maximal of the propagator. In fact, the trajectory (6) is nothing but the definition of the so-called Wigner trajectory [8–10]. Here we should stress that the identification of the Wigner trajectory by means of the phase of the electron's propagator is similar to the procedure given in [19]. Nevertheless, Eq. (6) is based on a more fundamental approach that prevents us to discuss the appropriate conditions in order to identify a well-defined Wigner trajectory by means of wave packets elaborated in [19].

In the tunnel-ionization case one can argue that the quasiclassical trajectory and the Wigner trajectory have to coincide at the entry point of the tunneling barrier x_i [19]. Therefore, imposing this condition, we obtain the Wigner trajectory for the tunnel-ionization in terms of the phase of the fixed energy propagator as

$$t^w_{\rm TI}(x) \equiv \left.\frac{\partial\phi(x,x_i,\varepsilon)}{\partial\varepsilon}\right|_{\varepsilon=\varepsilon_0} - \left.\frac{\partial\phi(x_i,x_i,\varepsilon)}{\partial\varepsilon}\right|_{\varepsilon=\varepsilon_0}\,. \tag{7}$$

where $\varepsilon_0 = -I_p$ for the nonrelativistic regime of tunnel-ionization whereas $\varepsilon_0 = c^2 - I_p$ for the relativistic regime with the ionization energy of the ground state of the H-like ion $I_p = c^2 - \sqrt{c^4 - c^2\kappa^2}$, atomic momentum κ and speed of light c.

The phase of the fixed energy propagator can be inferred by the inverse Fourier transform of the spacetime propagator as

$$G(x,x';\varepsilon) = \int_0^{\infty} dt\, \exp\left(i\varepsilon t\right) K(x,x';t)\,. \tag{8}$$

Moreover, if the fixed energy propagator (8) is calculated by the saddle point approximation, then the Wigner trajectory (7) coincides with the entire quasiclassical trajectory $t^c(x)$, which is instantaneous under-the-barrier and obeys Newton's law outside the tunneling barrier.

Finally, we can define the Wigner time delay, which measures the time difference between quasiclassical trajectory and the Wigner trajectory at a fixed space point far away from the barrier, as

$$\tau^w = t^w_{\rm TI}(\infty) - t^c(\infty)\,. \tag{9}$$



FIG. 1. (Color online) Comparison of the Wigner trajectory (red solid line) and the classical trajectory (blue dashed line) for nonrelativistic tunnel ionization from a zero-range potential under the effect of a constant and uniform electric field; the deep-tunneling regime (a) with $E_0/E_a = 1/7$ and the near-threshold-tunneling regime (b) with $E_0/E_a = 1$. The vertical black line indicates the exit coordinate and the applied parameter is $\kappa = 1$.

A. Nonrelativistic case: in a constant and uniform electric field

Let us apply the developed formalism first in the nonrelativistic regime of tunnel-ionization from a zero-range potential by neglecting the magnetic field of the laser and considering solely the electric field component

$$\boldsymbol{E} = E_0\,\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}\,. \tag{10}$$

The exact spacetime propagator for those particles whose Lagrangian is a quadratic function of the coordinate and the velocity coincides with the quasiclassical propagator, i.e., the classical path dominates the Feynman path integral [22–24]. In this case, the propagator can be given by

$$K(x,x';t) = \sqrt{\frac{-\partial^2 S_c}{(2\pi i)\,\partial x\partial x'}}\exp\left(i\,S_c(x,x',t)\right) \tag{11}$$

with the classical action S_c, which is the action evaluated along the classical trajectory. Then, the spacetime propagator along the electric field direction-x in the length gauge $A^\mu = (-xE_0, 0)$ can be written as

$$K(x,0;t) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi i\, t}}\exp\left(\frac{ix^2}{2t} + \frac{iE_0 tx}{2} - \frac{iE_0^2 t^3}{24}\right)\,. \tag{12}$$



FIG. 2. Tunneling probability vs. the kinetic momentum along the propagation direction of the crossed field at the tunnel entry (dashed line) and at the tunnel exit (solid line). In the case of tunnel-ionization from a zero-range potential, the values are independent from the barrier suppression parameter E_0/E_a and the transversal momentum transfer is I_p/c. The applied parameters for the figure are $E_0/E_a = 1/7$ and $\kappa = 90$.

Here the tunneling entry point for the tunnel-ionization form a zero-range potential can be set as $x_i = 0$. Then using the inverse Fourier transform (8) and the definition (7), we obtain the Wigner trajectory, see Fig. 1.

The classical trajectory, on the other hand, can be governed by

$$x(t) = x_e + \frac{1}{2}E_0 t^2 \tag{13}$$

where the initial velocity at the tunnel exit can be set to zero and the tunnel exit point is given by $x_e = I_p/E_0$.

Now, we can compare the classical trajectory (13) with the Wigner trajectory (7) for nonrelativistic tunnel-ionization from a zero-range potential. We did a comparison for two sets of parameters: First for $E_0/E_a = 1/7$, $\kappa = 1$ with the atomic field $E_a = \kappa^3$, corresponding to the deep-tunneling regime, and for $E_0/E_a = 1$, $\kappa = 1$, which represents the near-threshold-tunneling regime of the tunnel-ionization [19]. Fig. 1 demonstrates that for the deep-tunneling regime the Wigner time delay vanishes, while for the near-threshold-tunneling regime it persists and is detectable at remote distances. The results are consistent with Ref. [19].

B. Relativistic case: in a constant and uniform crossed field

Next, the relativistic tunnel-ionization from a zero-range potential is considered when the magnetic field component of laser cannot be neglected and the laser field can be approximated by a constant and uniform crossed field

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{E} &= E_0\,\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}\,, \\ \boldsymbol{B} &= E_0\,\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$



FIG. 3. (Color online) Comparison of the Wigner trajectory (red solid line) and the classical trajectory (blue dashed line) for relativistic tunnel-ionization from a zero-range potential under the effect of a constant and uniform crossed field; the deep-tunneling regime (a) with $E_0/E_a = 1/7$ and the near-threshold-tunneling regime (b) with $E_0/E_a = 1$. The vertical black line indicates the exit coordinate and the applied parameter is $\kappa = 90$.

The (3+1) dimensional relativistic spacetime propagator can be calculated within the proper time method [25–31]. Then, the corresponding spacetime propagator for the crossed field (14) in the Göppert-Mayer gauge $A^\mu = -xE_0\,k^\mu$ can be written as (see [32])

$$\begin{aligned} K(x^\mu, 0) = -\frac{i}{2}\exp\left(\frac{iE_0}{2c}\epsilon\cdot x\,k\cdot x\right)\int_0^\infty d\tau\,\frac{1}{(2\pi\tau)^2} \\ \times\exp\left(-i\frac{x\cdot x}{2\tau} - \frac{i\tau}{24c^2}x\cdot F\cdot F\cdot x - \frac{ic^2\tau}{2}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

with the wave vector $k^\mu = (1,0,0,1)$ and the polarization vector $\epsilon^\mu = (0,1,0,0)$, where we set the initial spacetime point $x'^\mu = 0$ similar to the nonrelativistic case.

The fixed energy and the fixed transversal momenta propagator along the laser's polarization direction can be calculated via the Fourier transform

$$G(x,0;\varepsilon,p_z,p_y) = \int dt\,dz\,dy\,K(x^\mu,0)\exp\left(i\varepsilon t - ip_z z - p_y y\right), \tag{16}$$

which can be given by

$$G(x;\varepsilon,p_z,p_y) = -\frac{(-1)^{3/4}}{2c}\int_0^\infty d\tau\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau}}\exp\left(\frac{ix^2}{2\tau} - \frac{i\tau\left(c^2+p_y^2+p_z^2\right)}{2} + \frac{i\tau(cE_0p_zx+\varepsilon(\varepsilon-E_0x))}{2c^2} - \frac{i\tau^3E_0^2(\varepsilon-cp_z)^2}{24c^4}\right). \quad (17)$$

In order to be able to plot the Wigner trajectory, we have to define p_y as well as p_z in Eq. (17). Firstly, one can set $p_y = 0$ without loss of generality for the maximal tunneling probability. However, in contrast to the nonrelativistic tunnel-ionization process, the existence of the magnetic field leads to a non-zero value for p_z for the maximal tunneling probability, which is a consequence of the fact that there is momentum transfer along the propagation direction of the laser in the relativistic regime [19, 20]. This will be deduced in the following. The tunneling probability for a given energy $\varepsilon_0 = c^2 - I_p$ can be given in terms of the propagator as

$$|T|^2 = \frac{|G(x_e;\varepsilon_0,p_z)|^2}{|G(0;\varepsilon_0,p_z)|^2}\,, \quad (18)$$

where the quasiclassical tunnel exit point x_e can be calculated via the condition

$$\left(c^2 - I_p - x_eE_0\right)^2 = c^2\left(p_z - x_e\frac{E_0}{c}\right)^2 + c^4\,, \quad (19)$$

which yields

$$x_e = \frac{I_p^2 - c^2(2I_p + p_z^2)}{2E_0(c^2 - I_p - cp_z)}\,. \quad (20)$$

The transition probability (18) reveals the most probable tunneling probability for a certain transversal momentum p_z, see Fig. 2. This indicates that during the tunneling there is a momentum transfer along the propagation direction of the crossed field. The kinetic momentum $q_z(x) = p_z - xE_0/c$ with the maximal tunneling probability at the tunneling entry is $q_z(0) = p_z \sim -2I_p/(3c)$, whereas at the exit it is $q_z(x_e) \sim I_p/(3c)$. As a consequence, the momentum transfer along the laser's propagation direction is I_p/c. Furthermore, in contrast to tunnel-ionization from a Coulomb potential case, the momenta at the entry and the exit, and hence the momentum transfer are independent from the barrier suppression parameter E_0/E_a for a zero-range potential. (see [19, 20] for further details).

For the comparison of the Wigner trajectory and the classical trajectory, we need to evaluate the classical equations of motion. Let us calculate the classical trajectory for a relativistic particle via the proper time parametrization τ. The classical equations of motion are governed by

$$\ddot{x}(\tau)^\mu = -\frac{1}{c}F^\mu{}_\nu(x)\dot{x}(\tau)^\nu \quad (21)$$

with the field strength tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$.

For a constant and uniform crossed field (14), the solutions



FIG. 4. (Color online) Comparison of the Wigner and the classical trajectory in terms of the transversal coordinate z for the parameters $E_0/E_a = 10$ and $\kappa = 90$.

are given by

$$\frac{1}{c}x^0(\tau) = \frac{\tau}{6c^3}\sqrt{c^2+v_{z0}^2}\left(6c^2 + E_0^2\tau^2\right) - \frac{E_0^2\tau^3v_{z0}}{6c^3}\,, \quad (22)$$

$$x^1(\tau) = \frac{E_0\tau^2}{2c}\left(v_{z0} - \sqrt{c^2+v_{z0}^2}\right) + x_e\,, \quad (23)$$

$$x^3(\tau) = \frac{E_0^2\tau^3}{6c^2}\left(\sqrt{c^2+v_{z0}^2} - v_{z0}\right) + \tau v_{z0} \quad (24)$$

with the initial conditions $x^\mu(0) = (0, x_e, 0)$ and $\dot{x}^\mu(0) = \left(\sqrt{c^2+v_{z0}^2}, 0, v_{z0}\right)$, where we used the Lorentz invariant relation for the four-velocity $\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}_\mu = c^2$ [33]. Moreover, the initial velocity along the propagation direction of the crossed field can be written as $v_{z0} = c\,q_z(x_e)/\sqrt{c^2+q_z(x_e)^2}$ with $q_z(x_e) = p_z - x_eE_0/c$ and the tunnel exit is given by

$$x_e = -\frac{I_p}{E_0}\left(\frac{18c^2 - 5I_p}{18c^2 - 6I_p}\right), \quad (25)$$

where we have used $p_z = -2I_p/(3c)$ in Eq. (20).

Similarly to the previous case, we can compare the Wigner trajectory with the classical trajectory in two distinct regimes, see Fig. 3. In the deep tunneling regime, $E_0/E_a = 1/7$, the Wigner time delay vanishes. For the near-threshold-tunneling regime, $E_0/E_a = 1$, the Wigner time delay is detectable.

Furthermore, one can compare the Wigner and the classical trajectories in terms of the transversal coordinate z (path). The stationary phase condition identifies the transversal coordinate as

$$z_{\rm TI}(x) \equiv -\left.\frac{\partial\phi(x,\varepsilon_0,p_z)}{\partial p_z}\right|_{p_z=p_{z0}} + \left.\frac{\partial\phi(0,\varepsilon_0,p_z)}{\partial p_z}\right|_{p_z=p_{z0}} \quad (26)$$

with the most probable momentum $p_{z0} = -2I_p/(3c)$. Due to the existence of a non-zero Wigner time delay, there exists also a spatial drift along the propagation direction at the tunnel exit, which is also detectable at remote distance, see Fig. 4 for an extreme parameter $E_0/E_a = 10$.

III. CONCLUSION

In this brief report, we present an alternative method for calculation of the Wigner trajectory which employs the fixed energy propagator. The developed method is applied to non-relativistic as well as relativistic tunnel-ionization from a zero-range potential, where the propagator is derived from the proper-time method in the latter regime. We compare the quasiclassical trajectory with the Wigner trajectory for the ionization processes in the deep-tunneling and the near-threshold-tunneling regime. It is shown that the Wigner time delay is detectable in the latter case and the results are in accordance with those of Ref. [19].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to C. H. Keitel, S. Meuren, and A. Wöllert for valuable discussions.

[1] M. Razavy, *Quantum Theory of Tunneling* (World Scientic, Singapore, 2003).
[2] L. A. MacColl, Phys. Rev. **40**, 621 (1932).
[3] P. Eckle, M. Smolarski, P. Schlup, J. Biegert, A. Staudte, M. Schöffler, H. G. Muller, R. Dörner, and U. Keller, Nature Phys. **4**, 565 (2008).
[4] P. Eckle, A. N. Pfeiffer, C. Cirelli, A. Staudte, R. Dorner, H. G. Muller, M. Buttiker, and U. Keller, Science **322**, 1525 (2008).
[5] A. N. Pfeiffer, C. Cirelli, M. Smolarski, D. Dimitrovski, M. Abusamha, L. B. Madsen, and U. Keller, Nature Phys. **8**, 76 (2012).
[6] J. Maurer, A. S. Landsman, M. Weger, R. Boge, A. Ludwig, S. Heuser, C. Cirelli, L. Gallmann, and U. Keller, in *CLEO: 2013* (Optical Society of America, 2013) p. QTh1D.3.
[7] C. Cirelli, A. Pfeiffer, M. Smolarski, P. Eckle, and U. Keller, *Attosecond Physics* (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2013) pp. 135–158.
[8] L. E. Eisenbud, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University, Princeton (1948).
[9] E. P. Wigner, Phys. Rev. **98**, 145 (1955).
[10] F. T. Smith, Phys. Rev. **118**, 349 (1960).
[11] R. Landauer and T. Martin, Rev. Mod. Phys. **66**, 217 (1994).
[12] D. Sokolovski, in *Time in Quantum Mechanics*, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 734, edited by J. G. Muga, R. Sala Mayato, and Í. L. Egusquiza (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007) pp. 195–233.
[13] A. M. Steinberg, in *Time in Quantum Mechanics*, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 734, edited by J. G. Muga, R. Sala Mayato, and Í. L. Egusquiza (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007) pp. 333–353.
[14] Y. Ban, E. Y. Sherman, J. G. Muga, and M. Büttiker, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 062121 (2010).
[15] E. A. Galapon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 170402 (2012).
[16] N. Vona, G. Hinrichs, and D. Dürr, Physical Review Letters **111**, 220404 (2013).
[17] L. V. Keldysh, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **47**, 1945 (1964).
[18] C. R. McDonald, G. Orlando, G. Vampa, and T. Brabec, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 090405 (2013).
[19] E. Yakaboylu, M. Klaiber, H. Bauke, K. Z. Hatsagortsyan, and C. H. Keitel, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 063421 (2013).
[20] M. Klaiber, E. Yakaboylu, H. Bauke, K. Z. Hatsagortsyan, and C. H. Keitel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 153004 (2013).
[21] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields I* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1995).
[22] R. P. Feynman and A. R. Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965).
[23] L. S. Schulman, *Techniques and Applications of Path Integration* (Wiley, New York, 1981).
[24] H. Kleinert, *Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics, Statistics, Polymer Physics, and Financial Markets* (World Scientic, Singapore, 2009).
[25] V. Fock, Phys. Rev. **12**, 404 (1937).
[26] R. Feynman, Physical Review **80**, 440 (1950).
[27] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **82**, 664 (1951).
[28] P. A. M. Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics* (Yeshiva University, New York, 1964).
[29] E. S. Fradkin and D. M. Gitman, Phys. Rev. D **44**, 3230 (1991).
[30] L. Brink, P. D. Vecchia, and P. Howe, Nucl. Phys. B **118**, 76 (1977).
[31] A. M. Polyakov, *Gauge Fields and Strings* (Harwood Academic, Chur, Switzerland, 1987).
[32] E. Yakaboylu, K. Z. Hatsagortsyan, and C. H. Keitel, "The propagator of a relativistic particle via the path-dependent vector potential," (2013), arXiv:1311.6343.
[33] In principle, one can eliminate the proper time τ and write down the equation of motion in terms of the parameter time t as $x(t)$ and $z(t)$.